

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
12

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) No. CR 10-00301-DLJ
14)
15 Plaintiff) ORDER
16) EXCLUDING TIME UNDER
17 v.) THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
18)
19 MARTIN MONTOYA)
and DAKOTA CASPERSON,)
Defendant.)

20
21 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, upon stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY
22 ORDERED that the motions hearing currently set for Tuesday, October 18, 2011, shall be
23 continued to Tuesday, **November 1, 2011 at 11:00 a.m.**
24

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the previous motion, filing and briefing dates set
26 are vacated, and the following dates substituted: the date of October 4, 2011 is set for Defense
27
28

1 motions to be filed, the date of October 18, 2011 is set for the filing of Government's replies to
2 Defense motions and the date of October 25, 2011 is set for filing of Defense replies to
3 Government responses.

4 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the ends of justice served by excluding the time
5 between September 20, 2011 and November 1, 2011, is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, 18
6 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv) for effective preparation by defense counsel and these days
7 are excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act because the need of the defendants for
8 preparation outweighs the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
9

10 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 DATED: September 8, 2011

13 
14 D. LOWELL JENSEN
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28